

Republic of Latvia

Rating report

Rating rationale

Sound institutions: Latvia’s effective policymaking is anchored by its euro area membership, which ensures a robust framework for fiscal and economic policy. Its EU and NATO memberships provide robust mitigants to external security risks in the present context of the heightened geopolitical tensions.

Strong economic fundamentals despite Russia-Ukraine war: After expanding by 2.9% in 2023, the Latvian economy contracted by 0.4% last year. We forecast growth to improve to 2.0% in 2025 and 2.7% in 2026. This recovery should be supported by a gradual recovery in private consumption, supported by a rebound in household purchasing power, and by strong public investment. The medium-term growth potential remains robust, estimated at 2.0-2.5% annually, underpinned by favourable investment dynamics and continued productivity gains.

Solid fiscal position: The shocks related to Covid-19 and the escalation of Russia’s war against the Ukraine have resulted in a deterioration in fiscal metrics since 2020. The fiscal deficit stood at 2.1% of GDP in 2024, down 0.3 percentage points from the previous year on the back of stronger-than-anticipated revenue growth. It is forecast to rise to 3.0% of GDP this year before gradually narrowing to about 2.5% over subsequent years, reflecting ambitious defence expenditure commitments and a gradually rising interest-payment burden. Public debt as a share of GDP is set to rise from 47.7% in 2024 to around 51% by 2027, before stabilising at this level over subsequent years, thus remaining among the lowest in the euro area.

Rating challenges: i) moderate income levels and exposure to external shocks, given the Latvian economy’s small size and openness; and ii) unfavourable demographic trends, persistent labour shortages and long-term fiscal pressures.

Foreign currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook

A-/Stable

Senior unsecured debt

A-/Stable

Short-term issuer rating/Outlook

S-1/Stable

Local currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook

A-/Stable

Senior unsecured debt

A-/Stable

Short-term issuer rating/Outlook

S-1/Stable

Figure 1: Latvia’s sovereign-rating drivers

Risk pillars	Quantitative		Reserve currency*	Political risk**	Qualitative***	Final rating
	Weight	Indicative rating	Notches	Notches	Notches	
Domestic economic risk	35%	bbb	EUR	Latvia	0	A-
Public finance risk	20%	aa-			0	
External economic risk	10%	ccc			-1/3	
Financial stability risk	10%	aaa			0	
ESG risk	Environmental factors	5%	[+1]	[-0]	0	
	Social factors	7.5%			-1/3	
	Governance factors	12.5%			-1/3	
Sovereign Quantitative Model	A				-1	
Additional considerations					0	

*The reserve-currency quantitative adjustment applies to currencies in the IMF’s Special Drawing Rights (SDR) basket.

**The political-risk quantitative adjustment is based on the World Bank’s Political Stability & Absence of Violence/Terrorism index.

***The qualitative scorecard analyst adjustments, capped at one notch per rating pillar, are weighted equally with an aggregate adjustment rounded to the nearest integer. For details, please see Scope’s [Sovereign Rating Methodology](#). Source: Scope Ratings.

Lead Analyst

Brian Marly

+33 1 86 26 18 82

b.marly@scoperatings.com

Team Leader

Alvise Lennkh-Yunus

+49 69 6677389-85

a.lennkh@scoperatings.com

Credit strengths and challenges


Credit strengths	Credit challenges
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sound institutional setup, underpinned by euro area and NATO memberships • Sound economic growth prospects • Moderate public debt 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exposure to external economic and financial shocks in a context of heightened trade and geopolitical uncertainty • Adverse demographic trends

Outlook and rating triggers

The Stable Outlook reflects Scope’s view that risks to the ratings are balanced.

Positive rating-change drivers	Negative rating-change drivers
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solid economic growth and income convergence continuing through structural reform and investment • Debt-to-GDP remaining anchored to moderate levels thanks to broadly balanced government finances • External and/or financial vulnerabilities continuing to decline 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heightened geopolitical risk undermining macroeconomic stability • Deterioration in public debt dynamics • Increasing macroeconomic imbalances, weakening growth prospects • Deterioration in external position and/or re-emergence of financial sector risks

Figure 2: Rating history




Foreign-currency long-term issuer rating. Positive/Negative Outlooks are treated with a +/-0.33-notch adjustment. Credit Watch positive/negative with a +/-0.67-notch adjustment. Source: Scope Ratings.

Domestic economic risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Latvia's Domestic Economic Risk


SQM ¹ indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
bbb	Growth potential and outlook	Neutral	0	Sound economic growth prospects, although constrained by adverse demographics and labour market bottlenecks
	Monetary policy framework	Neutral	0	ECB is a credible and effective central bank; effective policy framework and transmission over the cycle
	Macroeconomic stability and sustainability	Neutral	0	Limited economy size and diversification; solid productivity gains and labour market metrics

Figure 3: Nominal GDP and GDP per capita (2024E)



Source: IMF World Economic Outlook (WEO), Scope Ratings

Figure 4: Real GDP growth, %




Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts

Public finance risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Latvia's Public Finance Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
aa-	Fiscal policy framework	Neutral	0	Record of fiscal prudence, but large shadow economy and comparatively restricted tax base
	Long-term debt trajectory	Neutral	0	Moderate debt levels, expected to increase slightly before stabilising over the medium term
	Debt profile and market access	Neutral	0	Robust debt structure, moderate funding needs, and ability to issue on favourable terms in capital markets

Figure 5: Contributions to change in debt levels, pps of GDP



Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts

Figure 6: Debt-to-GDP forecasts, % of GDP



Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts


¹ Sovereign Quantitative Model

External economic risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Latvia's External Economic Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
CCC	Current account resilience	Neutral	0	Improvements in export diversification but high share of lower value-added exports
	External debt structure	Neutral	0	Elevated, albeit declining, external debt, but limited risks of capital outflows
	Resilience to short-term external shocks	Weak	-1/3	Very small and open economy, exposed to ongoing uncertainty on global trade; euro-area membership partly mitigates exposure to external developments

Figure 7: Current-account balance, % of GDP



Source: IMF WEO forecasts, Scope Ratings

Figure 8: Net international investment position (NIIP), % GDP




Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Financial stability risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Latvia's Financial Stability Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
aaa	Banking sector performance	Neutral	0	Well-capitalised and profitable banking sector with moderate non-performing loans
	Financial sector oversight and governance	Neutral	0	Oversight under the Bank of Latvia and the ECB as part of the Banking Union
	Financial imbalances	Neutral	0	Concentration and spillover risks in the banking system from Nordic banking groups, moderate private debt

Figure 9: Non-performing loans (NPLs), % of total loans



Source: World Bank (WB), Scope Ratings

Figure 10: Tier 1 capital, % of risk-weighted assets




Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Latvia's ESG Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
a-	Environmental factors	Neutral	0	Strong record in environmental sustainability and governance; ambitious policy efforts to achieve carbon neutrality by 2035
	Social factors	Weak	-1/3	Strong equality and social inclusion, high-quality education system; weak demographics, though fiscal costs partly mitigated by solid pension system
	Governance factors	Weak	-1/3	Stable governance, supported by EU, euro area and NATO memberships; heightened geopolitical uncertainty could impact domestic institutional developments

Figure 11: CO₂ emissions per capita/GDP (2023), mtCO₂e



Source: European Commission (EC), Scope Ratings

Figure 12: Old-age dependency ratio, %



Source: United Nations (UN), Scope Ratings

Reserve-currency adjustment


IMF SDR basket and Scope reserve-currency adjustment

Currency	U.S. dollar	Euro	Chinese yuan	Japanese yen	Pound sterling	Other
IMF SDR basket weights, %	43.4	29.3	12.3	7.6	7.4	0.0
Positive adjustment, notches	3	1	1	1	1	0

Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Political-risk adjustment

Figure 13: WB Political Stability & Absence of Violence/Terrorism index, Latvia, 3-year moving average




Source: WB, Scope Ratings

Additional considerations

No adjustment was applied to the rating from additional considerations.

Appendix 1. Sovereign default history

Sovereign default history, USD m



Depicted private-debt defaults may not always constitute a credit event under [Scope's credit-rating definitions](#).

Source: [Bank of Canada-Bank of England Sovereign Default Database](#), Scope Ratings.

Appendix 2. Rating peers

Rating peers are related to sovereigns with an indicative rating in the same rating category or adjacent categories, as assigned by Scope's sovereign quantitative model after accounting for methodological reserve-currency and political-risk adjustments.

Peer group*
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Estonia
Poland
Slovakia

*Select publicly-rated sovereigns only; the full sample of sovereign-rating peers may be larger.

Appendix 3. Economic development and default indicators

IMF Development Classification	Advanced economy
5y USD CDS spread (bp) as of 3 March 2025	65.1

Appendix 4. Statistical table for selected SQM indicators

This table presents a selection of the indicators (24 out of 30 – with the governance indicator reflecting a composite of five indicators) used in Scope's quantitative model, in line with Scope's Sovereign Rating Methodology. The metrics and sources for the data presented here ensure comparability across global country peers and may therefore differ from data from national and other select international statistical series.

Pillar	Core variable	Source	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024E
Domestic Economic	GDP per capita (PPP), Int\$ '000s	IMF	33.2	33.6	36.6	40.8	41.9	43.5
	Nominal GDP, USD bn	IMF	34	34	39	40	44	46
	Real growth, %	IMF	0.6	-3.5	6.7	3.0	-0.3	1.2
	CPI inflation, %	IMF	2.7	0.1	3.2	17.2	9.1	1.4
	Unemployment rate, %	WB	6.3	8.1	7.5	6.8	6.5	-
Public Finance	Public debt, % of GDP	IMF	36.7	42.7	44.4	41.8	43.6	45.2
	Net interest payment, % of government revenue	IMF	2.3	2.3	2.0	1.4	1.5	2.6
	Primary balance, % of GDP	IMF	0.5	-2.9	-4.8	-3.2	-3.0	-2.4
External Economic	Current-account balance, % of GDP	IMF	-0.6	2.9	-3.9	-4.8	-4.0	-3.8
	Total reserves, months of imports	WB	2.3	2.9	2.3	1.6	1.8	-
	NIIP, % of GDP	IMF	-40.2	-36.6	-25.8	-26.8	-	-
Financial Stability	NPL ratio, % of total loans	IMF	5.0	3.1	2.5	2.3	2.4	-
	Tier 1 ratio, % of risk-weighted assets	IMF	21.5	24.0	24.1	24.1	21.0	20.5
	Credit to the private sector, % of GDP	WB	34.5	33.7	31.4	29.1	28.0	-
ESG	CO ₂ per EUR 1,000 of GDP, mtCO ₂ e	EC	116.7	111.2	107.2	93.3	92.1	-
	Income share of bottom 50%, %	WID	17.8	18.5	18.6	18.6	-	-
	Labour-force participation rate, %	WB	78.0	79.0	76.6	77.7	-	-
	Old-age dependency ratio, %	UN	33.2	34.0	34.4	34.9	35.7	36.5
	Composite governance indicators*	WB	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	-
	Political stability, index	WB	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6

*Average of the following five World Bank Worldwide Governance Indicators: Control of Corruption, Voice and Accountability, Rule of Law, Government Effectiveness, Regulatory Quality.

Analyst

Brian Marly
+33 186 261 882
b.marly@scoperatings.com

Team Leader

Alvise Lennkh-Yunus
+49 69 6677389-85
a.lennkh@scoperatings.com

Related research

[CEE Sovereign Outlook: Recovering growth, diverging fiscal paths, and persistent geopolitical risks, January 2025](#)
[Baltic update: divergence in macro-fiscal outlooks drive recent rating actions, December 2024](#)

Applied methodology

[Sovereign Rating Methodology, January 2025](#)

Scope Ratings GmbH

Lennéstraße 5, D-10785 Berlin
Phone: +49 30 27891-0
Fax: +49 30 27891-100
info@scoperatings.com

Scope Ratings UK Limited

52 Grosvenor Gardens
London SW1W 0AU
Phone: +44 20 7824 5180
info@scoperatings.com



Bloomberg: RESP SCOP
[Scope contacts](#)
[scoperatings.com](https://www.scoperatings.com)

Disclaimer

© 2025 Scope SE & Co. KGaA and all its subsidiaries including Scope Ratings GmbH, Scope Ratings UK Limited, Scope Fund Analysis GmbH, Scope Innovation Lab GmbH and Scope ESG Analysis GmbH (collectively, Scope). All rights reserved. The information and data supporting Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions and related research and credit opinions originate from sources Scope considers to be reliable and accurate. Scope does not, however, independently verify the reliability and accuracy of the information and data. Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, or related research and credit opinions are provided 'as is' without any representation or warranty of any kind. In no circumstance shall Scope or its directors, officers, employees and other representatives be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental or other damages, expenses of any kind, or losses arising from any use of Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, related research or credit opinions. Ratings and other related credit opinions issued by Scope are, and have to be viewed by any party as, opinions on relative credit risk and not a statement of fact or recommendation to purchase, hold or sell securities. Past performance does not necessarily predict future results. Any report issued by Scope is not a prospectus or similar document related to a debt security or issuing entity. Scope issues credit ratings and related research and opinions with the understanding and expectation that parties using them will assess independently the suitability of each security for investment or transaction purposes. Scope's credit ratings address relative credit risk, they do not address other risks such as market, liquidity, legal, or volatility. The information and data included herein is protected by copyright and other laws. To reproduce, transmit, transfer, disseminate, translate, resell, or store for subsequent use for any such purpose the information and data contained herein, contact Scope Ratings GmbH at Lennéstraße 5, D-10785 Berlin. Public Rating are generally accessible to the public. Subscription Ratings and Private Ratings are confidential and may not be shared with any unauthorised third party.